# Poster ID: B41B-0415 Eddy Covariance measurements of stable isotopes ( $\delta D$ and $\delta^{18}O$ ) in water vapor Jelka Braden-Behrens<sup>1</sup>, Alexander Knohl<sup>1</sup>

(1) Bioclimatology, Faculty of Forest Sciences and Forest Ecology, Georg-August University, Göttingen, Germany

# Motivation

Stable isotopes are a promising tool to enhance our understanding of ecosystem gas exchange: Studying  $\delta^{18}$ O and  $\delta$ D in water vapor can e.g. help to understand the seasonal dynamics of evapotranspiration and the usage of different water resources by plants. With recent developments in laser spectroscopy, direct Eddy Covariance (EC) measurements to investigate fluxes of stable isotopologues became feasible.

# Setup

# • Measured quantities:

- 2 Hz-measurements of  $c_{H2O}$  (water concentration) and its isotopic composition  $\delta D$  and  $\delta^{18}O$ -20 Hz-measurements of 3D-wind velocity
- Instruments: High-flow optimized Water Vapor Isotope Analyzer (WVIA, Los Gatos Research Inc., USA), 3D Anemometer (R3, *Gill Instruments*, UK)
- Main Tube: Polyethylene tube with stainless steel coating, heated to 4°C above ambient temperature; 60 m long; 6.5 mm inner diameter Flow app. 14 slpm ; Reynolds number >3000

# Calibration

- Water vapor concentration  $(c_{H2O})$  calibration Repeated manual measurements using a Dew Point Generator
- $c_{H2O}$ -dependency of  $\delta$  values (hourly measurements in the field) Using a Water Vapor Isotope Standard Source (WVISS, Los Gatos)
- Absolute  $\delta$  scale calibration (repeated manual measurements) Using 5 water standards at different  $\delta$ -values



 $c_{H2O}$  vs. time t; middle and right:  $\delta$ -values plotted vs.  $c_{H2O}$ 





Figure 1: Field site: Eddy Covariance tower in a managed beech forest in Germany



- Present technical aspects of our setup to perform Eddy Covariance measurements for stable isotopologues in water vapor using a highfrequency and high-flow optimized Los Gatos Water Vapor Isotope Analyzer (WVIA) such as calibration strategy and tubing
- Show the capability of the high-flow and high-frequency optimized WVIA to perform 2 Hz measurements of  $H_2O_v$  in a continuous setup: Evaluate instrument precision and discuss the resulting spectra and cospectra.

# **Allan deviation (precision)**

- The instrument's precision depends on wat concentration  $c_{H2O}$  (c.f. Fig. 4)
- Allan deviation  $\sigma_A$  at  $\tau_{meas}=0.5$  s (min. mea time) is below 1 % for both  $\delta$ -values
- Allan deviation  $\sigma_A$  at  $\tau_{cal} \approx 10^3$  s (time betwee calibrations) is below 1 % for both  $\delta$ -values



Figure 4: Allan Deviation  $\sigma_A$  as a function of averaging times  $\tau$  for different concentrations C, based on nighttime measurements in the field

### **Spectral energy (tube attenuation)**



Figure 5: Double logarithmic plot of the spectral energy  $S_x x$  scaled with natural frequency f and normalized with variance  $\sigma^2$  for an example day at midday

- High frequency (HF)-dampening of WVIA-measurements is comparable with the HF dampening of a permanently installed LI6262 with comparable tubing.
- At high frequencies, the spectral energy for H<sub>2</sub>O rises again (possibly related to aliasing).
- The individual spectral energies for each water isotopologues (not shown) is similar to the shown spectral energy  $H_2O_v$  - WVIA (shown in Fig. 5).



ter					
		$c_{H2O} \approx 6000$		$c_{H2O}pprox 20000$	
		$\delta \mathbf{D}$	$\delta^{18} \mathbf{O}$	$\delta^{13}$ C	$\delta^{18} {f O}$
as.		[‰]	[‰]	[‰]	[‰]
	$\sigma_A (0.5 \text{ s})$	1.40	0.45	0.70	0.30
	$\sigma_A (1 s)$	1.00	0.34	0.50	0.20
	$\sigma_A (10 \text{ s})$	0.40	0.13	0.21	0.07
een	$\sigma_A (100 \text{ s})$	0.12	0.05	0.10	0.07
S					
concentration c $_{H2O} \approx 20000$					
				1.00	

### Conclusions

- of stable isotopes in  $H_2O_v$
- the analyzers concentration dependency and drift.

### Cospectra

- timescales.
- the different water analyzers (WVIA and LI6262)
- higher frequencies.



cospectrum between w and  $c_{H2O}$  - WVIA

### **Outlook / next steps:**

## Acknowledgements

This project was partly funded by the Dorothea-Schlözer-Fellowship and by the German Research Foundation (DFG, project ISOFLUXES KN 582/7-1).

GEORG-AUGUST-UNIVERSITÄT GÖTTINGEN

• The high-flow and high-frequency optimized water vapor isotope analyzer (WVIA) showed suitable characteristics (Allan deviation and spectral energy distribution) to perform Eddy covariance measurements

• An intensive 3-step calibration strategy is necessary to compensate for

• The cospectrum between vertical wind velocity w and the concentration of a transported quantity (e.g.  $c_{H2O}$ ) reflects the flux on different

• The measured cospectrum for water vapor shows similar behavior for

• Despite possible Aliasing (c.f. energy spectrum, Fig. 5), the cospectrum of wind velocity w and water concentration  $c_{H2O}$  measured with the WVIA (c.f. Fig 6) does not show a rise of flux contributions at

Figure 6: Double logarithmic plot of cospectra  $S_{xy}$  between vertical wind-velocity x = w and different measured quantities y (sonic temperature T or water concentration  $C(H_2O_v)$  - scaled with nat. frequency f and normalized with the resp. covariance cov(x,y) for an example day at midday, the individual cospectra between wind velocity w and each water isotopologues (not shown) show the same behavior as the

• Calculation of  $H_2O_v$  fluxes for each isotopologue over the measurement period (a full growing season) based on the 2 Hz setup presented here. • Comparison of measured isotopologue fluxes to leaf water enrichment (measured intensively with IRMS in spring/summer 2016)

### **Contact information**

![](_page_0_Picture_62.jpeg)

Presenting Author: Jelka Braden-Behrens ⊠ jbraden1@gwdg.de